

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-48 remain pending in this Application. In the Office Action dated August 5, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 1-48 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,667,750 B1. By this amendment, Applicant corrects minor informalities in the specification, submits formal drawings, and submits a Terminal Disclaimer.

***Double Patenting***

Claims 1-48 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,667,750 B1. Although Applicant disagrees with this rejection of claims 1-48, Applicant submits a Terminal Disclaimer herewith in order to expedite prosecution of this Application. In view of the attached Terminal Disclaimer, Applicant respectfully requests that the double patenting rejection of claims 1-48 be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

***Conclusion***

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.


Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge  
any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: November 5, 2004

By:



John M. Mulcahy  
Reg. No. 55,940

Attachments: Terminal Disclaimer;  
Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement;  
Submission of Formal Drawings;  
Twelve (12) sheets of formal drawings showing Figures 1-17; and  
Change of Attorney Docket Number.